



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
01/967,267	10/27/92	COCK ET AL.	ISU-0621
01/918,362			ISU-0710

EXAMINER

KUNZ

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1803

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

At parties to applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GARY L. KUNZ (3) HERB BOSWELL  
(2) JOSEPH L. KUNZ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 6/7/94

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: All pending claims

Identification of prior art discussed: WAGNER ET AL; SPEAR ET AL; ROBINS ET AL;  
COTTEN ET AL; KELLER ET AL (HELVETICA CHIMICA ACTA 76, 1993)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

The applicant emphasized the unobviousness of the 2'-O-alkylation of 2,6-diaminopurine riboside over the combination of the references (esp. Wagner et al. and Robins et al.).

The claimed process eliminates many of the steps used in the prior art. Some of the 112 issues were discussed and will be addressed in future amendments. The examiner agreed to give the proposed arguments and amendments full consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.